

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SMEET
Total number of pages including cover letter:
To: Examiner Erin M. Cardina Date: 3/18/2011 Facsimile No.: 571-270-4/48 Telephone No.: 571-270-3/48
From: J. Jeffrey Gunn
Message/Comments: Proposed Agenda for Telephonic Intervan to 6 held 3/22/11 at 4:00 Pm EST held 3/22/11 at 4:00 Pm EST App. No. 10/580,636 Filed 9/4/07 App. No. 10/580,636 Filed 9/4/07 Att. Doublet No. 3485-P10379US
Faxed by: Date: $\frac{3/13/11}{3 21 11}$ Time: $\frac{3:48}{9:45 \text{ Pm}}$
ч

If you do not receive the complete document, please call (801) 532-1922 as soon as possible



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of TRASKBRITT, which is confidential and/or legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named in this transmission sheet above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosures, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile information is strictly prohibited, and that the documents should be returned to this firm immediately. In this regard, if you have received this facsimile transmission in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the documents to us at no cost to you.

PROPOSED AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW Application No. 10/580,636 Filed September 4, 2007 Attorney Docket No. 3485-P10379US

- General overview of subject technology and commercial significance.
- 2) Discuss limitation whereby proximal ends of tubular member and shape imparting mechanisms are releasably connectable to the distal end of the control device. In particular:
 - a. Discuss intended effect of limitation.
 - b. Discuss whether language should be modified to arrive at that intended effect.
 - Contrast with FIG. 17 of Edwards; particularly non-releasable nature of shape imparting mechanism.
- 3) Discuss limitations of claims 6 and 8, relevance of "coupling mechanism" in terms of shape imparting mechanism.
- 4) Discuss limitation of "closed distal end" in claim 1 contrast with open distal end of item 96 in Edwards. For example, FIG. 10 clearly shows an open distal end.